

Final Report on Reducing Municipal Solid Waste (MSW)



Presented by: Deb McDonough

Prepared by the Energy Committee:

Rick Meinking, Chair

J. Anton Bodor

Sandi Dargi

David Kirstein

Michael Wallace

Ronald Allen, 1st Alternate

Judy Roy, 2nd Alternate

Contributors:

Thomas J. Hall, Town Manager

Michael Shaw, Director of Public Works

Presented to the Town Council on February 17, 2016

Reducing Municipal Solid Waste Report of the Energy Committee February 17, 2016

Summary

In response to Order No. 15-034, The Energy Committee recommends the following:

Goal: to achieve a material reduction in solid waste.

Recommendations:

1. Ongoing Education and Outreach.
 - Recycling
 - Composting
2. Lead by example.
 - Recycling/compost bins at municipal facilities and events.
 - Establish drop-off sites for household organic waste.
3. Hire part-time Sustainability Coordinator.
4. Evaluate next phase recommendations, including but not limited to:
 - PAYT options.
 - Universal Curbside Composting Options.

History

During the spring of 2015, the Scarborough Town Council considered a “Pay-As-You-Throw” (PAYT) proposal from WasteZero. (Both the March 15 WasteZero “PAYT presentation” and the “Considerations for Pay-As-You-Throw” report are available at scarboroughmaine.org, search “PAYT”)

WasteZero’s preliminary estimate indicated that by requiring residents to pay for garbage collection by the bag, Scarborough could reduce the Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) stream by 2,371 tons/year, resulting in annual savings of \$167,230 through reduced tipping fees. In addition, they projected annual income to the town of \$480,750 (net program services and supplies) from PAYT bag sales. (WasteZero retains a portion of the bag fees to cover program services and supplies. The Energy Committee estimates this amount at ~\$60,000 annually based on information in the PAYT proposal.)

After intense public opposition, the Town Council removed the WasteZero proposal from the budget and on May 6, 2015 passed Order No. 15-034, which reads:

Move approval on the request to charge the Energy Committee to review the “Pay As You Throw Program” to study and recommend viable methods for achieving and reducing waste and saving money; prepare a Town-wide Plan(s) and develop recommendations for the Town Council; and provide a Report to the Council one year from the date of approval of this Order.

Current Data

Scarborough currently contracts with Pine Tree Waste for curbside collection of MSW and recycling. Materials are hauled to EcoMaine in Portland which currently charges a \$70.50/ton tipping fee for MSW. We do not pay a tipping fee for recycling.

The Energy Committee has reviewed the data on our existing recycling program and finds that there is room for improvement. Data from EcoMaine indicates that we achieved a recycling rate of 36.1% in FY08, the first full year of curbside, single stream recycling. Since that time, the recycling rate has declined with a low of 31.43% in FY12-13, a recent high of 33.55% in FY14-15 and a four-year average of 32.19%. (Data from EcoMaine. <http://www.ecomaine.org/about-ecomaine/ecomaine-communities/scarborough/>)

During the first year of curbside recycling a half-time employee (funded through grant monies) coordinated education and outreach efforts. While EcoMaine has engaged in ongoing educational activities in the Scarborough Schools and through the media, the Town of Scarborough has not conducted ongoing outreach efforts.

The 2011 Maine Residential Waste Characterization Study (<http://umaine.edu/wcs/> and personal communication with the authors) found that 19% of the Scarborough MSW stream is recyclable (12.8% paper, 4.2% plastic, 2.0% metal) and that 41% of the MSW stream is compostable (25.2% food, 10.5% non-recyclable paper, 5.3% leaves/grass).

The Energy Committee has projected the results of the Waste Characterization Study onto the FY15 Scarborough Waste Stream as reported by EcoMaine. Approximately 1000 tons of additional recycling and 2000 tons of compostable materials are targets for increased diversion.

Pay-As-You-Throw

While the initial community response to a Pay-As-You-Throw program was negative, the Energy Committee does recommend an open community process to explore this option further. The experience of many other communities indicates that an initial negative reaction is very common and that a 6-9 month period of community education is necessary to address concerns and misconceptions prior to community acceptance. Surveys of communities with active PAYT programs generally find better than 90% support of the program.

A review of the literature indicates that volume based pricing does reduce the MSW stream, but the magnitude of the effect depends on the recycling and waste patterns in place at the start of the program. While many communities use a bag style PAYT program as presented by WasteZero, other communities allow households to choose the size of their trash cart and then bill based on the size of the cart. The city of Asheville, NC hired SERA (sera.inc) to compare these options. SERA analysis indicated that a bag program would cost the community more over time than the upfront costs associated with replacing some carts with new sizes. The complete report is available on the Asheville web [page \(www.ashevillenc.gov/Portals/0/city-documents/economicdevelopment/ped/Skumatz%20Economic%20Research%20Associates%20\(SERA\)%20Asheville%20PAYT%20Options%20Analysis%20Report.pdf\)](http://www.ashevillenc.gov/Portals/0/city-documents/economicdevelopment/ped/Skumatz%20Economic%20Research%20Associates%20(SERA)%20Asheville%20PAYT%20Options%20Analysis%20Report.pdf)

The Energy Committee recommends an open community process to evaluate PAYT options (and universal curbside composting options, discussed below). This process would involve additional research, community education and outreach and a series of community forums to address concerns and take community input before developing detailed recommendations.

Recycling

The Energy Committee recommends an ongoing program of education and outreach to ensure that all residents are aware of current recycling guidelines. If these efforts were sufficient to return our recycling rate to 36.1% (the rate achieved during the first year of curbside collection), we estimate an

additional 191 tons would have been recycled during FY 14/15 for a savings of \$13,465 in avoided tipping fees.

We also recommend that the Town of Scarborough make increased efforts to lead by example. We recommend providing recycling bins at all municipal facilities and events.

Compostable Organics

Compostable organic materials can be removed from the MSW stream through backyard composting, subscription curbside composting, development of centralized drop-off sites, and/or implementation of a universal curbside composting program.

Backyard composting: This is the lowest cost approach. The Energy Committee recommends the inclusion of composting information in all outreach efforts, including the option to purchase compost bins at cost from Public Works. We would also like to highlight a backyard composting workshop hosted by Scarborough Conservation Commission and planned for April 11, 2016.

Voluntary Subscription Composting: Two companies are currently offering subscription curbside organics collection in Southern Maine, but with limited activity in Scarborough. Garbage-to-Garden (garbagetogarden.org) began operations in Portland in 2012 and currently provides household curbside collection in eight communities. We Compost It! (www.wecompostit.com) has five years experience in commercial organics collection (operating as Resurgam) and more recently joined forces with CPRC Group to begin a household organics collection service. (CPRC operates the Community Recycling Center on Runway Road.) Pricing and other details of each service are available at the company websites. Garbage-to-Garden is collecting food waste from the Scarborough school cafeterias and each company has contracts with several restaurants in town. Both companies are interested in expanding their residential operations into Scarborough once there is sufficient demand for the service to be financially viable. The Energy Committee recommends including information about subscription curbside composting options in all outreach efforts.

Centralized Drop-off: Both We Compost It! and Garbage-to-Garden are available to contract with the town for collection of household organic waste from centralized drop-off locations (perhaps at silver bullet locations, schools or other sites to be determined). The Energy Committee recommends evaluation of proposals from both companies and then contracting with one of them to establish collection points. This program should be included in the public works budget. Costs will be at least partly offset by avoided tipping fees.

Universal curbside collection: Universal curbside collection of organic waste has the highest diversion potential and has been successfully implemented in several US cities (for examples, see <http://www.recologysf.com/index.php/for-homes/> or <https://www.portlandoregon.gov/bps/41461>). In 2013, EcoMaine conducted an Organics Recycling Feasibility Study (full report available at <http://www.ecomaine.org/recycling-and-waste-disposal/organics-recycling-feasibility-study-final-report/>). This report outlines several approaches to universal curbside compost collection with cost estimates for each option. No member communities stepped forward to pilot a curbside organics collection program at that time. The Energy Committee recommends a detailed evaluation of Universal Curbside Compost Collection alongside PAYT strategies, as these approaches have been shown to complement one another.

Education and Outreach

Effective education and outreach needs to be ongoing and designed to reach all residents. The Energy Committee recommends a commitment to regular distribution of materials on recycling, composting and the costs of MSW disposal. If a task force is established to explore PAYT options and/or universal curbside composting, we recommend a comprehensive approach to education and outreach on these topics as well. Communication strategies could include:

- Insert in tax bill (\$1,500)
- Stickers for curbside recycling carts (\$4,200/10,000)
- Information packet for new residents
- Improved web presence
- Information in town newsletter
- Articles in local newspapers
- Postings on Local Channel 3

Sustainability Coordinator

Ongoing education and outreach on recycling and composting will require staff time. The Energy Committee recommends hiring a part-time (10-20 hours/week) Sustainability Coordinator. This individual would be tasked with developing and implementing an education and outreach program, guiding the task force evaluating PAYT and universal curbside composting options as well as monitoring and reporting on progress.

This position could overlap with the Energy Coordinator position recommended in the 2011 Scarborough Energy Plan. This position was requested to provide education and outreach on energy issues and to identify new opportunities and grants for the town.

We anticipate that this position could be funded through avoided tipping fees and reduced energy costs.